

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,626	09/05/2003	Hiroshi Nakajima	4041J-000768	8134
27572	7590 05/28/2004		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828			GUTMAN, HILARY L	
	BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3612	
			DATE MAILED: 05/28/200	DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/656,626	NAKAJIMA, HIROSHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hilary Gutman	3612			
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) date of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ARA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>07 April 2004</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	,				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for					
closed in accordance with the practice i	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.				
• •	4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 5-7</u> is/are objected to.		•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 September 20</u>		objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for t	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	110(a) (d) or (f)			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	oreign phonty ander 35 0.5.C. g	119(a)-(u) 01 (1).			
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	suments have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority doc		plication No.			
	ne priority documents have been re				
application from the International					
* See the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified copies not re	eceived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	1) Interview Sur	mmany (DTO 442)			
2) \square Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	948) Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	/SB/08) 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of Species A in a paper filed 4/7/04 is acknowledged.
- 3. Claim 8 is hereby withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in a paper filed 4/7/04.

Specification

4. The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to a foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference. The amendment must be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the amendatory material consists of the same material incorporated by reference in the referencing application. See *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 569, 179 USPQ 157 (CCPA 1973); *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 579, 179 USPQ 163 (CCPA 1973); and *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 577, 179 USPQ 167 (CCPA 1973).

Art Unit: 3612

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 5, line 9, "1d" should be deleted.

On page 7, line 21, "case 2c" should be "case 2a".

On page 8, line 3, "Since the" should be "The".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Figures 4A-4B of the well known prior art.

The well known prior art of Figures 4A and 4B disclose a connecting structure comprising: an air conditioning case 2a of an air conditioning unit 2, which is mounted on a vehicle, forming an air outlet port (as seen) through which air is discharged; and an air duct 13 for introducing the air from the air conditioning case to a passenger compartment (via port 1a) of the vehicle, the air duct 13 having a first end 13a connecting to an air blowing port 1a of an instrument panel 1 of the vehicle and a second end 13b connecting to the air outlet port of the air conditioning case 2a, wherein the second end 13b of the air duct 13 is engaged with the air conditioning case by mounting the instrument panel on the vehicle.

Art Unit: 3612

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the well known prior art of Figures 4A-B as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Suzuki et al.

The well known prior art lacks the air conditioning case having a guide portion on the periphery of the air outlet port for directing the second end of the air duct.

Suzuki et al. (6,409,590) teach an air conditioning case 44 (Figures 14-15) having a guide portion 45 on the periphery of an air outlet port for directing an end of an air duct 10 onto the air conditioning case. Additionally, the guide portion and the air conditioning case are integrally formed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a guide portion as taught by Suzuki et al. around the periphery of the air outlet port of the air conditioning unit of the well known prior art (Figures 4A-4B) in order to guide the duct thereon and aid in assembling the connecting structure.

Note that it has been held that the term "integral" is sufficiently broad to embrace constructions united by such means as fastening and welding.

Art Unit: 3612

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 3 and 5-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hilary Gutman whose telephone number is 703-305-0496.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on 703-308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

13. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Art Unit: 3612

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9326, (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

(703) 746-3515, (for informal or draft communications, please clearly label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hilary Gutman 3612

5720107